



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,379	11/17/2003	Tony Hunter	066671-0085	4384
7590 04/15/2005		EXAMINER		
David A. Gay			YAO, LEI	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY				
7th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4370 La Jolla V	illage Drive	1642		
San Diego, CA	92122	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/716,379	HUNTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lei Yao, Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication appe	ars on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If the period for reply specified above is less that If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended perio Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply w aximum statutory period will d for reply will, by statute, c e months after the mailing d	(a). In no event, however, ma vithin the statutory minimum of apply and will expire SIX (6) Na ause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>17 Nov</u>	<u>vember 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL .	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	e practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending ir	the application.		·			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	* *	from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejecte	d.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	ed to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to re	striction and/or elec	ction requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•	ted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that a						
			ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	ected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attacl	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a		riority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nor						
1. Certified copies of the						
2. Certified copies of the			· · · — —			
			en received in this National Stage			
application from the Int	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Offic	e action for a list of	the certified copies f	ot received.			
Attachment(s)		_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 	eview (PTO 049)	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050412			

Application/Control Number: 10/716,379

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's preliminary amendment filed / 11/17/2003 is acknowledged Claims 1-3 have cancelled. Claims 4-6 are added and are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 4, drawn to a method for determining whether a composition modulated Pin1 activity, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- II. Claim 5 in part, drawn to a method for treating a cell proliferative disorder in a subject, comprising administering an amount of Pin1 to induce mitotic arrest and nuclear fragmentation, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- III. Claim 5 in part, drawn to a method for treating a cell proliferative disorder in a subject, comprising administering an amount of PIN1 inhibitor to induce mitotic arrest and nuclear fragmentation, classified in class 424, subclass 178.1 and 184.1.
- IV. Claim 6, drawn to a method for treating a cell proliferative disorder in a subject, comprising administering an amount of PIN1 enhancer to block the cell in G2, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.

Inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to method using different active ingredients that have different functions. Pin1, PIN1 inhibitor, and PIN1 enhance are different molecules, which do not share common structure and have different biological functions. Search of these different molecules are not co-extensive in text searching in non-patent literature and US patent database.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,379

Art Unit: 1642

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitation of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitation of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 C.F.R. 1.312.

In the event of a rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.104. thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet the criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. 103(b), 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or otherwise include the limitation of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lei Yao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-3112. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4.30pm Monday to Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or file papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Kim Dowining for Art Unit 1642 whose telephone number is 571-272-0521

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1642

Lei Yao, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

LY

KARENA. CANELLA PH.D.

COMMARY EXAMINER